

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/041,791	Applicant(s) HIRANO ET AL
	Examiner Richard A. Booth	Art Unit 2812

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard A. Booth. (3) _____.

(2) David Metzger. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant questioned whether it was the intention of the examiner to make the action mailed 5-30-03 final since the form paragraph was not included although the box on the PTO-326 was checked. The examiner replied that the action is a final action but the form paragraph was inadvertently omitted. Applicant stated that a new action containing the form paragraph was not necessary and that the action would just be treated as a final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required